

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR_		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/227,9	999 04/15	/94 NILSSEN		0	
		*>=>4.4.4.4.4		RATLIFEXAMINER	
OLE K. I	NILSSEN	B5M1/0	70209	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAESARA BARRING	DRIVE TON, IL 600:	10			//
				2502	
				DATE MAILED:	02/09/95
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF		n charge of your application. EMARKS			
This application ha	as been examined	Responsive to commun	ilcation filed on 4/	1,5/94	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133					
Part I THE FOLLOW	ING ATTACHMENT(S	S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTI	ON:		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.</li> <li>Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.</li> <li>Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.</li> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.</li> <li>Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.</li> </ol>					
Part II SUMMARY O	OF ACTION				
1. Claims	22	-37			are pending in the application.
Of the a	bove, claims			are	withdrawn from consideration.
2. 1 Claims	1-21				_ have been cancelled.
3. Claims					
4. Claims _ Z	2,23,2	5-28and 31 129	-3/		_ are rejected.
5. Claims	24 aug	129			_ are objected to.
6. Ctaims			are	subject to restriction	n or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.					
8. Formal drawin	gs are required in resp	oonse to this Office action.			
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).					
10. The proposed examiner;	additional or substitute disapproved by the ex	e sheet(s) of drawings, filed o caminer (see explanation).	n	has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed	drawing correction, file	rd, t	nas been 🔲 approve	ed; disapproved	(see explanation).
		im for priority under 35 U.S.C erial no			eceived  not been received
		in condition for allowance ex x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11		s, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in
14. Other					

08/227999

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial Number: 08/227999

Art Unit: 2502

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 22, 23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Stevens.

Stevens shows in figure 1: a "source" functional to provide an AC voltage between first and second source terminals (12a and 12b), capacitor means connected with the second source terminal 19, and gas discharge lamp means 11. It should be noted that the recited connections are not indicated as being direct and are therefore readable on the depicted connections in the Stevens reference. It should be noted that the limitations of claims 23, 25, and 26 are inherent characteristics of most high frequency inverter ballast inluding that of Stevens.

Serial Number: 08/227999

Art Unit: 2502

Claims 27, 28 and 30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Stevens.

Stevens shows all of the structural features as recited in these claims but does not explicitly teach the limitations drawn to the magnitude and duration of the segments of the AC voltage waveform found in the functional constituent of these claims. However in the absense of in distinguishing structure and because Stevens teaches that the output of the inverter is an AC voltage square wave (see column 3 lines 58-65) these limitations are most probably inherent. But as this cannot be determined by the examiner the burden of proof that it is not inherently possessed by the reference device is shifted to the applicant. See In re Fitzgerald et al 205 USPQ 594.

With regard to claim 31 it would be obvious to make the subcircuits integral as it has been held that forming into one peice an article previously formed in two peices involves only routine skill in the art.

It should be noted that to use the recited circuit in an edison type lamp socket would be obvious as it is a notoriously old design expedient.

Finally the limitations of claims 34-37 would be obvious as the recitations are drawn to a mere rearranging of the ess-

Serial Number: 08/227999

Art Unit: 2502

ential working parts of the well known gas discharge lamp; such rearrangement has been held to involve only routine skill in the art.

Claim 24 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald A. Ratliff whose telephone number is (703) 4904.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 0956.

rr

February 4, 1995

ROBERT J. PASCAL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 2500